



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE G2675-907658 1930 W. Denver Christopher 02/05/2002 10/062.561 **EXAMINER** 03/31/2005 7590 BERKO, RETFORD O **EMERSON ELECTRIC CO** PATENT DEPARTMENT STATION 2826 PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 8000 WEST FLORISSANT ST. LOUIS, MO 63136 1615

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SKILL PART PART SANS.

100				
11. 5		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)
IPR 1 3 2005 8		10/062,56	31 ·	CHRISTOPHER ET AL.
The Indian	ffice Action Summary	Examiner	•	Art Unit
by SHEAT		Retford Bo		1615
Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this commun ply	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of time may be available under the provision MONTHS from the mailing date of this com for reply specified above is less than thirty (If for reply is specified above, the maximum seply within the set or extended period for replaceived by the Office later than three months ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even munication. 30) days, a reply within the stat statutory period will apply and w y will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) daysill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status				
1)☐ Res	ponsive to communication(s) fil	ed on		
·	action is FINAL .	2b) This action is n	on-final.	
<u> </u>	e this application is in condition			secution as to the merits is
	ed in accordance with the pract		·	
Disposition o	of Claims			
4a) (5)	m(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the Of the above claim(s) is/am(s) is/am(s) is/are allowed. m(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restricted.	are withdrawn from co		
Application F	apers			• .
10) The Appl	specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are icant may not request that any objected to accement drawing sheet(s) including oath or declaration is objected to accement declaration is objected to accement declaration is objected to accept the declaration is objected to be accepted to accept the declaration is objected to be accepted to	e: a) accepted or b) ection to the drawing(s) to g the correction is requir	pe held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	nowledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation he attached detailed Office action	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority docume onal Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. In received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of R	eferences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	· ·
3) Information	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Indian Date	granded the second seco	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)

Page 2

Acknowledgement: The Amendment filed June 18, 2004 is acknowledged.

Withdrawal of Claim Rejections:

1. The rejection of claims 1-4, 8, 9, 14 and 20 under 35 USC § 112 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment to the claims.

DETAILED ACTION

- 2. The rejection of claims1-4 under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Chang et al (US 3, 950, 266) is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment to the claims and applicant's ensuing arguments.
- 3. The rejection of claims1-3 under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Todd et al (US 5, 079, 016) is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment to the claims and applicant's ensuing arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-22 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Chang et al (US 3, 950, 266), Todd et al (US 5, 079, 016) and Bank et al (US 6, 306, 450).

Application/Control Number: 10/062,561

Art Unit: 1615

The modified claims are directed to a composition consisting essentially of a fragrance compound, said compound containing a flavor compound containing a flavor compound sensitive to radiation and natural antioxidant from extract of Labiatae or rosemary or other ingredient thereof. The claims are also drawn toward the antioxidant ingredient of rosemary extract as curnosic acid or rosmarinic acid or mixture thereof, the fragrance compound is citral or borneol and the claims are also directed toward a method of stabilizing fragrance or flavor composition using effective amount of fragrance compound, flavor compound or mixture thereof and natural antioxidant in a composition wherein there is suitable carrier (e.g. food, cosmetic product, prepared foo; meat etc).

Chang et al (Patent '266) discloses natural antioxidant stabilizing flavor composition and preventing deterioration of oils and fats in foods products (abstract, col 12, lin 15-20 and table 7). As in claim 2-4, the natural antioxidant is from the herb rosemal'y extract (col 4, lin 25-30), generally known in the art to be from the Labiatae family.

Patent '266 did not disclose the use of citral as the fragrance compound or the use of manufactured food product, cosmetic or prepared food, seasoning or flavoring blend as the carrier in addition to oils.

Tood et al teaches (Patent '016) discloses effective color stabilization in carotenoid compositions-- color-stabilized annatto, tomato, carrot compositions and Labiatae or tea extract (source of natural antioxidant) are employed; the teaching in Patent '016 reads on applicant's claims in that as in claim1, Patent '016 discloses fragrance or flavor composition with natural antioxidant and enhancement of color stabilization (abstract, col 16, lin 40-65). Patent '016 discloses Labiatae antioxidant (col 3, lin 67, col 5, lin 25; col 7, lin 15 and col 12, lin 35-55).

Application/Control Number: 10/062,561

Art Unit: 1615

Patent '016 discloses the stabilization of tomato juice by rosemary extract (col 13, lin 5-40).

Patent '016 does not disclose the specific chemical anti-oxidant ingredient in the rosemary extract as rosmarinic acid.

Bank et al (Patent '450) discloses the prevention of the development of off-flavor and off odors in storage-stable, citrus compositions by plant extract (Labiatae family) –abstract and col 5; lin 1-5, col 9, lin 5-35). Patent '450 discloses the natural antioxidant as obtained form rosemary extract to be rosmarinic acid (col 5, lin 55, col 7, lin 15-20 and col 8, lin 25-30); directly reading on applicant's claims 1-4. Patent '450 discloses citral as the flvoring agent in the composition (abstract, col 4, lin 30-35). Patent '450 a method of stabilizing flavor composition using plant extract citral (col 8, lin65 continuing to col 9, lin 1-20), from Labiatae plant family (col 5, lin 1-10).

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to prepare an anti-oxidant fragrance or flavor composition wherein the color deterioration is stabilized by the use of a natural antioxidant from rosemary extract in sufficient amount as described in prior art cited (Patent '266, Patent 016 or Patent '450). One of ordinary skill would expect to obtain fragrance or food composition with appealing characteristics such as longer shelf or storage life without unpleasant rancid, off-flavor, off-odor (and loss of taste in case of foods) due antioxidant action of the ingredients from the rosemary extract. Therefore the invention as a whole would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made.

Response To Arguments

Applicant argues that the present invention is the unexpected finding that emulsifiers have stabilization effect on pigments following admixture and that the references cited in the

Art Unit: 1615

record contain no motivation to combine or a suggestion of the desirability of doing so in order to achieve the present invention as in the amended claims.

In response, as shown by Todd et al, pigments from marigold extract benefits from the presence of natural Labiatae antioxidants and tocopherols in the presence of surface active agents (col 12, lin 45-64 and col 13, lin 10-50). Chang et al showed antioxidant effects of rosemary extracts (abstract, col2, lin 15-35). Banks et al showed the stabilization effects of col 5, lin 1-65). Though these inventors did not disclose the use of the plant extracts for color stabilization, Todd et al is relied upon to provide the missing link between color stabilization, food preservation and the preservation of bright color in the presence of emulsifiers and other compounds. More importantly, though the modified claims are drawn toward the use of the plant extracts in the absence of surface active agents, Todd discloses that the color stabilization by the plant extracts is a synergistic, depending upon presence of surface active agents doubled, tripled or quadrupled (col 11, lin 1-20 and col 12, lin 1-35). One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use the plant extracts for color stabilization. One of ordinary skill would expect to obtain reasonable success in obtaining the desires effect even in the absence of the surface active agents that would cause an exponential stabilization effect through synergism. Therefore the invention as a whole would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time that it was made.

No claims are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Correspondence


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Retford Berko** whose telephone number is571-272-0590. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8.00 am to 5.30 pm

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Thurman K Page, can be reached on 571-272-0602.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600



Application/Control No. 10/062,561	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination CHRISTOPHER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Retford Berko	1615	Page 1 of 1	

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-3,950,266	04-1976	Chang et al.	252/398
*	В	US-5,079,016	01-1992	Tood, Jr., Paul H.	426/250
*	С	US-6,306,450	10-2001	Bank et al.	426/534
	D	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	н	US-			
	l	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	V	
	w	

evence is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) symat are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.



AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERUI

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. BOX 1450

LUSPTO MAIL CENTER APP 2 3 2005 The state of the s

BEST AVAILABLE COPY